

Sellafield Shop Stewards Committee

Question	Agree	Response
1 – Geology	Yes	There are potential areas remaining to site a safe repository. We agree that the search for a suitable site is allowed to take place ,also along side a detailed geological investigation of any sites that are found in any preliminary studies
2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Yes	We agree with the Partnerships opinions on the regulators and safety ,and in particular ,we also share the concerns that further scrutiny of the planning process would be required if the MRWS process carries on
3 – Impacts	Not Sure/ Partly	We agree that ann acceptable process can be put in place and that the Partnership must give a clear and firm steer on the nature ,priorities and timescales of that process, especially with regard to community and human impacts.
4 – Community benefits	Not Sure/ Partly	We agree with the 12 principals but considerably more work needs to be done around how the benefits might be orchestrated
5 – Design and engineering	Yes	We must emphasise that monitoring and retrievability are essential for a repository to be acceptable in West Cumbria.
6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly	We believe that all future waste from new build must be disposed of in the repository
7 – Siting process	Not Sure/ Partly	We agree with the parnerships initial opinions ,but believe that the process should not be allowed to be unduly delayed by minority NGOs, and the Trade Unions should have continued involvement in any future partnership.
8 – Overall views on participation		We believe that West Cumbria should participate in the search for a repository site, Given that the right of withdrawel remains why should we refuse. We believe that MRWS partnership shpould strongley recommend to the decision making bodies that we participate in the next stage.